# PLANNING COMMITTEE – 8 OCTOBER 2019

Application No:	19/01489/FUL
Proposal:	Single storey extension to main building to form Sauna and steam room.
Location:	Blidworth Community Leisure Centre, Blidworth.
Applicant:	Mr Andy Carolan
Agent:	Mr John Gaddass Newark & Sherwood District Council
Registered:	19.08.2019 Target Date: 14.10.2019
Link:	https://publicaccess.newark-sherwooddc.gov.uk/online- applications/applicationDetails.do?activeTab=documents&keyVal=PVXB88LBKSQ00

# This application is being presented to the Planning Committee in line with the Council's Scheme of Delegation as NSDC are the applicant.

# <u>The Site</u>

The application site comprises a substantial rectangular plot occupied by Blidworth Community Leisure Centre at the junction of Mansfield Road and Belle Vue Lane. The site is within the main built up area of Blidworth; a principal village as identified by the settlement hierarchy of the Core Strategy. Neighbouring development includes both residential and commercial uses as well Blidworth fire station immediately to the northern boundary of the site. A number of large mature trees are located close to the boundary with the Mansfield Road. To the rear of the application building is a hard surfaced car park and children's playground.

#### **Relevant Planning History**

13/01526/ADV - 1 Steel Frame Sign and 1 Internally Illuminated LED Screen Enclosure. Approved 20.12.2013

08/00011/FULR3 - Change of use and extension of existing community centre to form leisure centre – Approved 28.02.2008.

07/00470/FULR3 - Erection of 2.4 Meter high green "Heras" style mesh fence. Approved 12.10.2007.

#### The Proposal

The proposal seeks planning permission for a single storey extension in order to create a steam room and sauna facility in connection with the existing leisure centre.

The proposed extension would be located on the south-west facing elevation and measure 5.3m in depth and 6.13m in width. The roof design would be dual pitched with an eaves level of 2.3m and a maximum ridge height of 3.4m. The external finish would be facing brickwork on the elevations and tiles on the roof, both to match the existing building.

### Submitted Documents

For the avoidance of doubt, the following assessment has been based on the plans and details listed below

RR-97-03 – Existing Plans and Elevations RR-97-02 – Block Plan RR-97-04 – Proposed Plans RR-97-05 – Proposed Elevations

# Public Advertisement Procedure

Occupiers of twenty two properties have been individually notified by letter.

# **Planning Policy Framework**

#### The Development Plan

# Newark and Sherwood Amended Core Strategy DPD (adopted March 2019)

Spatial Policy 1 - Settlement Hierarchy Spatial Policy 2 - Spatial Distribution of Growth Spatial Policy 7 - Sustainable Transport Spatial Policy 8 – Protecting and Promoting Leisure and Community Facilities Core Policy 6 – Shaping our Employment Profile Core Policy 8 – Retail Hierarchy Core Policy 9 -Sustainable Design Core Policy 10 – Climate Change

#### **Allocations & Development Management DPD**

DM1 – Development within Settlements Central to Delivering the Spatial Strategy
DM5 – Design
DM11 – Retail and Town Centre Uses
DM12 – Presumption in Favour of Sustainable Development

#### **Other Material Planning Considerations**

- National Planning Policy Framework 2019
- Planning Practice Guidance

#### **Consultations**

**Blidworth Parish Council** – 'We object to this application as it is an illegal application due to the wrong name being used on the Property Name on the application form.'

'Further to our comment submitted yesterday we would like to clarify by adding the following: The Planning application states that the proposal is for Blidworth Community Leisure Centre. There is nowhere stated on the building that this is a community building. We therefore feel that this application does not benefit the community but only those that pay a membership.'

**NSDC Environmental Health** - There are no environmental health observations to make about this application.

# No other representations have been received

# Comments of the Business Manager

# Principle of Development

The application site is located within the village of Blidworth which is defined as a 'Principal village' in the settlement hierarchy contained within Spatial Policy 1 of the Amended Core Strategy which has a defined function as a secondary focus for service provision. Spatial Policy 2 outlines that the overall strategy for Blidworth is regeneration where the District Council will seek to secure new employment opportunities, the regeneration of vacant land and the provision of new housing.

Furthermore Spatial Policy 8 of the Amended Core Strategy advises that the provision of new and enhanced community and leisure facilities will be encouraged, particularly where they address a deficiency in current provision, and where they meet the identified needs of the communities.

Given the above, it is considered that the principle of the development at the site is acceptable.

# Impact on visual amenity and local distinctiveness

Core Policy 9 states that new development should achieve a high standard of sustainable design and layout that is of an appropriate form and scale to its context complementing the existing built and landscape environments. Policy DM5 requires the local distinctiveness of the District's landscape and character of built form to be reflected in the scale, form, mass, layout, design, materials and detailing of proposals for new development. The NPPF states that good design is a key aspect of sustainable development and new development should be visually attractive as a result of good architecture and appropriate landscaping.

The application building is a large detached building located within a prominent position on a corner plot, and while I am mindful that the proposed extension is located on an elevation facing Mansfield Road, I am also aware that the this section of the application building is heavily screened by the large mature trees that run close to the boundary with this highway. Furthermore, I am of the view that the large host building would form a backdrop to the proposed extension, which is of limited dimensions, and as such the proposed development is considered to appear visually acceptable within the street scene and would not result in an unduly prominent feature. The single storey design and external finish of the proposed extension is also considered to be in keeping with the host building. Overall the proposal would not result in any adverse visual impact in accordance with the aims of Core Policy 9 and Policy DM5.

#### Impact on amenity

Policy DM5 of the DPD states that development proposals should ensure no unacceptable reduction in amenity including overbearing impacts and loss of privacy upon neighbouring development. Furthermore, the NPPF seeks to secure high quality design and a good standard of amenity for all existing and future occupants of land and buildings.

The closest neighbouring residential properties are located along Mansfield Road, approx. 30m to the west and properties along Belle Vue Road, approx. 48m to the south-east. Given the level of separation to the closest neighbouring residential properties and limited dimensions of the proposed extension, it is considered that the proposal would not result in any material impact on neighbouring amenity.

# Highway safety

Spatial Policy 7 of the Core Strategy seeks to ensure that vehicular traffic generated does not create parking or traffic problems. Policy DM5 of the DPD requires the provision of safe access to new development and appropriate parking provision.

The site is served by a large hard surfaced car park to the rear of the application building and accessed via Belle Vue Lane. There is no change to vehicular access into the site or the layout of car park as part of this application and it is also not envisioned that the proposed development would alter the demand for parking at the site significantly. As such, it is considered that the proposal is unlikely to result in material impact on highway safety at the site.

#### Other matters

I note the comments of the Parish Council in relation to the use of the word community within the application buildings name, and while I am mindful that the leisure use may require a paid membership fee, it is understood that this leisure facility is open to the general public. I therefore consider the use of the word community in the title of the application building to not be misleading. In any case, I do not consider the title of the application building to be central to the assessment of the proposed development and therefore I give this matter very limited weight.

#### **Conclusion**

The proposal relates to the extension and improvement of an existing leisure facility within the Principle Village of Blidworth and is acceptable in principle. There have no identified material impacts on the visual amenity of the site or wider area of the residential amenity of neighbouring properties. Furthermore, the proposal would not result in any material impact on highway safety. Accordingly it is recommended that planning permission be granted.

#### **RECOMMENDATION**

That planning permission is approved subject to the conditions and reasons shown below

# **Conditions**

01

The development hereby permitted shall not begin later than three years from the date of this permission.

Reason: To comply with the requirements of Section 51 of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004.

# 02

The development hereby permitted shall not be carried out except in complete accordance with the following approved plans reference:

RR-97-02 – Block Plan

RR-97-04 Rev D – Scheme 3 Proposed Plans

RR-97-05 Rev D – Proposed Elevations

unless otherwise agreed in writing by the local planning authority through the approval of a nonmaterial amendment to the permission.

Reason: So as to define this permission.

03

The development hereby permitted shall be constructed entirely of the materials details submitted as part of the planning application unless otherwise agreed in writing by the local planning authority.

Reason: In the interests of visual amenity.

# Notes to Applicant

# 01

The application as submitted is acceptable. In granting permission without unnecessary delay the District Planning Authority is implicitly working positively and proactively with the applicant. This is fully in accordance with Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) Order 2010 (as amended).

# 02

The applicant is advised that all planning permissions granted on or after the 1<sup>st</sup> December 2011 may be subject to the Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL). Full details of CIL are available on the Council's website at <u>www.newark-sherwooddc.gov.uk/cil/</u>

The proposed development has been assessed and it is the Council's view that CIL is not payable on the development hereby approved as the gross internal area of new build is less than 100 square metres.

# BACKGROUND PAPERS

Application case file.

For further information, please contact Gareth Elliott on ext 5836.

All submission documents relating to this planning application can be found on the following website <u>www.newark-sherwooddc.gov.uk</u>.

Lisa Hughes Business Manager – Planning Development Committee Plan - 19/01489/FUL



© Crown Copyright and database right 2019 Ordnance Survey. Licence 100022288. Scale: Not to scale